CARL LEVIN, MICHIGAN
DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWAII
THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
MARK L. PRYOR, ARKANSAS
MARY L. LANDRIEU, LOUISIANA
CLAIRE MCCASKILL, MISSOURI
JON TESTER, MONTANA
MARK BEGICH, ALASKA

SUSAN M. COLLINS, MAINE
TOM COBURN, OKLAHOMA
SCOTT P. BROWN, MASSACHUSETTS
JOHN McCAIN, ARIZONA
RON JOHNSON, WISCONSIN
ROB PORTMAN, OHIO
RAND PAUL, KENTUCKY
JERBY MORAN, KANSAS

MICHAEL L. ALEXANDER, STAFF DIRECTOR NICHOLAS A. ROSSI, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS WASHINGTON, DC 20510–6250

July 22, 2011

The Honorable Ryan Crocker U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan Embassy of the United States Kabul, Afghanistan

Dear Mr. Ambassador:

I am writing to request information regarding the Embassy's response to the recent report by the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR), which found significant problems with the oversight of taxpayer dollars spent to support the Afghan government.

On July 20, 2011, SIGAR released an audit report titled, "Limited Interagency Coordination and Insufficient Controls over U.S. Funds in Afghanistan Hamper U.S. Efforts to Develop the Afghan Financial Sector and Safeguard U.S. Cash." SIGAR found that U.S. agencies' continued failure to coordinate their actions has hampered efforts to assist the Afghan government and Afghanistan's central bank, Da Afghanistan Bank, to strengthen the Afghan economy. According to SIGAR, the government's failure to adequately oversee U.S. funds distributed to contractors has played a significant role in these problems:

U.S. agencies do not record the serial numbers of cash disbursed to contractors ...commercial banks do not record the serial numbers of electronic payments made by U.S. agencies to contractors and other recipients when their electronic payments are converted into cash ... [and] U.S. contracting regulations neither prohibit prime contractors from using unlicensed hawalas to pay subcontractors nor require them to use banks capable of handling electronic funds transfers.¹

The ongoing lack of interagency coordination and its impact on contractor oversight were also the primary focus of the Subcommittee's June 30, 2011 hearing on Afghan reconstruction and development contracts. At the hearing, no witness could name a single office or individual across government that was responsible, and therefore accountable, for overseeing and coordinating contracting efforts in Afghanistan.²

¹ Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, *Limited Interagency Coordination* and *Insufficient Controls over U.S. Funds in Afghanistan Hamper U.S. Efforts to Develop the Afghan Financial Sector and Safeguard U.S. Cash* (July 20, 2011) (SIGAR-Audit 11-13).

² Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight, *Hearing on Afghanistan Reconstruction Contracts: Lessons Learned and Ongoing Problems*, (July 30, 2011).

The Honorable Ryan Crocker July 22, 2011 Page 2

To better understand how the Embassy intends to improve interagency coordination and accountability, I request that you provide a written response to the Subcommittee on or before **August 5**, **2011**, detailing the Embassy's response to the SIGAR report and its planned timetable for implementing SIGAR's recommendations.

The jurisdiction of the Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight is set forth in Senate Rule XXV clause 1(k); Senate Resolution 445 section 101 (108th Congress); and Senate Resolution 73 (111th Congress).

Please have your staff contact Alan Kahn with the Subcommittee staff at (202) 224-3230 with any questions. Please send any official correspondence related to this request to Kelsey Stroud at Kelsey Stroud@hsgac.senate.gov.

Sincerely,

Claire McCaskill

Chairman

Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight

cc:

Rob Portman
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight